

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/666,704	LEAH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric V. Woods	2672

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Pending(1) Eric V. Woods.

(3) _____.

(2) David Purks (40,133).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2005**Time:** 1 p.m. EST**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

34

Prior art documents discussed:

Newly submitted Weitzman (US 2003/0200347)

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant to propose an amendment to deal with a potential double patenting issue regarding claim 1 of the Weitzman reference vs. claim 34 of the instant application, and applicant's representative gave permission for certain language to be added to claim 34. .